

Short distance repulsion in 3 nucleon forces from perturbative QCD

Sinya Aoki

*Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki
305-8571, Japan*

E-mail: saoki@het.ph.tsukuba.ac.jp

Janos Balog

*Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, 1525 Budapest 114, Pf. 49,
Hungary*

E-mail: balog@rmki.kfki.hu

Peter Weisz

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Föhringer Ring 6, D-80805 München, Germany

E-mail: pew@mpp.mpg.de

ABSTRACT: We investigate the short distance behavior of 3 nucleon forces (3NF) defined through Nambu–Bethe–Salpeter wave functions, using the operator product expansion(OPE) and calculating anomalous dimensions of 9–quark operators in perturbative QCD. As is the case of NN forces previously considered, we show that 3NF have repulsions at short distance at 1–loop, which becomes exact in the short distance limit thanks to the asymptotic freedom of QCD. Moreover these behaviors are universal in the sense that they do not depend on the energy of the NBS wave function for 3 nucleons.

KEYWORDS: Short distance repulsion, perturbative QCD, operator product expansion, 3 nuclear forces, anomalous dimension.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Renormalization group analysis and operator product expansion for 3NF	4
2.1 Renormalization group equation for composite operators	4
2.2 OPE and RG equations	6
2.3 Perturbative solution of the RG equation and factorization of OPE	6
2.4 3NF from OPE	8
3. Anomalous dimensions for three nucleons at 1-loop	9
3.1 OPE for 3N operators at tree level	9
3.2 General formula for the divergent part at 1-loop	10
3.3 Results of anomalous dimensions for 9 quark operators at 1-loop	11
4. Short distance repulsion of 3NF	13

1. Introduction

Realistic nuclear potentials between two nucleons (2N), determined precisely from 2N scattering data together with the deuteron binding energy, have often been used to study nuclear many-body problems. These two-nucleon forces (2NF), however, generally underestimate the experimental binding energies of light nuclei [1, 2] and this fact indicates the necessity of taking into account three-nucleon forces (3NF). In addition, a clear indication of 3NF is observed in high precision deuteron-proton elastic scattering data at intermediate energies [3].

The 3NF may also play an important role for various phenomena in nuclear physics and astrophysics, which include (i) the backward scattering cross sections in nucleus-nucleus elastic scattering [4], (ii) the anomaly in the oxygen isotopes near the neutron drip-line [5], and (iii) the nuclear equation of state at high density relevant to the physics of neutron stars [6]. Universal short distance repulsion for three baryons (nucleons and hyperons) is also suggested to explain the observed maximum mass of neutron stars [7].

Despite of its phenomenological importance, a microscopic understanding of 3NF is still limited, due to difficulties in studying 3NF experimentally. Pioneered by Fujita and Miyazawa [8], the long range part of 3NF has been modeled by two-pion exchange [9], which is known to be attractive at long distance. In addition a repulsive component of 3NF at short distance is introduced in a purely phenomenological way [10].

To go beyond phenomenology, it is most desirable to determine 3NF directly from the fundamental degrees of freedom, the quarks and the gluons, on the basis of QCD. Recently

the first investigation of this kind has been attempted using lattice QCD simulations, where 3NF have been extracted from the Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter (NBS) wave function for a specific alignment of 3 nucleons [11, 12, 13]. The method used there had been previously employed to extract nucleon-nucleon potentials (*i.e.* 2NF)[14, 15, 16, 17] as follows. The NBS wave function for 2 nucleons is defined by

$$\varphi_E(\vec{r}) = \langle 0 | N(\vec{x} + \vec{r}, t) N(\vec{x}, t) | 2N, W \rangle, \quad (1.1)$$

where $|2N, W\rangle$ is a QCD eigenstate with energy $W = 2\sqrt{m_N^2 + k^2}$ with m_N being the nucleon mass, $E = k^2/m_N$ represents the non-relativistic kinetic energy, and N is a nucleon interpolating operator made of 3 quarks such as $N(x) = \epsilon^{abc} q^a(x) q^b(x) q^c(x)$. The non-local but energy independent potential (more precisely the half off-shell T -matrix) is extracted from this NBS wave function as

$$(E - H_0)\varphi_E(\vec{r}) = \int U(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \varphi_E(\vec{r}') d^3 r' \quad (1.2)$$

where $H_0 = -\nabla^2/m_N$. The non-local potential can be expanded in terms of the velocity (derivative) with local function as

$$U(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = V(\vec{r}, \vec{\nabla}) \delta^3(\vec{r} - \vec{r}'), \quad (1.3)$$

which becomes

$$V(\vec{r}, \vec{\nabla}) = V_0(r) + V_\sigma(r) \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 + V_T(r) S_{12} + V_{LS}(r) \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S} + O(\nabla^2) \quad (1.4)$$

at the lowest few orders, where $r = |\vec{r}|$, σ_i represents the Pauli-matrices acting on the spin index of the i -th nucleon, $\vec{S} = (\vec{\sigma}_1 + \vec{\sigma}_2)/2$ is the total spin, $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ is the angular momentum, and

$$S_{12} = 3 \frac{(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_1)(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2)}{r^2} - \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \quad (1.5)$$

is the tensor operator. This method has been shown to work well. The central potentials at the leading order in the expansion have qualitatively reproduced common features of phenomenological 2N potentials: the force is attractive at medium to long distance while it has a characteristic repulsive core at short distance. See also refs. [18, 19] for a summary of results and recent developments.

The present authors have investigated short distance behaviors of the 2NF defined in the framework mentioned above, using the operator product expansion(OPE) and perturbation theory thanks to asymptotic freedom of QCD [20, 21, 22]. (See also a similar attempt for the solvable models in 2 dimensions [23].) The behavior of the NBS wave function $\varphi_E(\vec{r})$ at short distance ($r \rightarrow 0$) is encoded in the operator product expansion (OPE) of the two nucleon operators:

$$N(\vec{x}/2, 0) N(-\vec{x}/2, 0) \approx \sum_k D_k(\vec{x}) \mathcal{O}_k(\vec{0}, 0), \quad (1.6)$$

where $\{\mathcal{O}_k\}$ is a set of local color singlet 6-quark operators with two-nucleon quantum numbers. Asymptotically the $\vec{x}$ -dependence and energy dependence of the wave function is factorized into

$$\varphi_E(\vec{x}) \approx \sum_k D_k(\vec{x}) \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_k(\vec{0}, 0) | 2N, W \rangle. \quad (1.7)$$

Standard renormalization group (RG) analysis gives [21] the leading short distance behavior of the OPE coefficient function as

$$D_k(\vec{x}) \approx \left(\ln \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{\nu_k} d_k, \quad (1.8)$$

where ν_k is related to the 1-loop coefficient of the anomalous dimension of the operator $\mathcal{O}_k$, d_k is the tree-level contribution of $D_k(\vec{0})$ and finally r_0 is some typical non-perturbative QCD scale. Assuming its matrix element does not vanish, the operator with largest RG power ν_k dominates the wave function (1.7) at short distances. We denote the largest power by ν_1 and the second largest one by ν_2 .

If ν_1 is non-zero, this leads to the leading asymptotics of the s-wave potential of the form

$$V(r) \approx -\frac{\nu_1}{r^2 \left(\ln \frac{r_0}{r} \right)}, \quad (1.9)$$

which is attractive for $\nu_1 > 0$ and repulsive for $\nu_1 < 0$.

If $\nu_1 = 0$, the situation is more complicated. The relative sign of the ratio R between the leading and the subleading contributions becomes important and we find:

$$V(r) \approx -R \frac{\nu_2}{r^2 \left(\ln \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{1-\nu_2}}. \quad (1.10)$$

If R is positive, the potential is repulsive, while it is attractive for negative R . A system of two nucleons corresponds to this degenerate case. Unfortunately in this case R depends on the energy E . In [21] it is argued that in the relevant energy range the relative coefficient R is positive, so that the short distance limit of the nucleon potential is repulsive.

In this paper, we extend the above OPE analysis to the 3NF. The corresponding equal time NBS wave function for 3 nucleons is given by

$$\psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) = \langle 0 | N(\vec{x}_1, 0) N(\vec{x}_2, 0) N(\vec{x}_3, 0) | E_{3N} \rangle \quad (1.11)$$

where E_{3N} and $|E_{3N}\rangle$ denote the energy and the 3N state. We introduce Jacobi coordinates $\vec{R} = (\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2 + \vec{x}_3)/3$, $\vec{r} = (\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2)/2$, $\vec{\rho} = (\vec{x}_3 - (\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2)/2)/\sqrt{3}$. From this wave function, the three nucleon potential is defined by

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2\mu_r} \nabla_r^2 - \frac{1}{2\mu_\rho} \nabla_\rho^2 + \sum_{i < j} V_{2N}(\vec{r}_{ij}) + V_{3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \right] \psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) = E_{3N} \psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \quad (1.12)$$

where $V_{2N}(\vec{r}_{ij})$ with $\vec{r}_{ij} = \vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j$ denotes 2NF between (i, j) -pair, $V_{3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})$ the 3NF, $\mu_r = \mu_\rho = m_N/2$ the reduced masses.

In sect. 2, we start with renormalization group considerations and OPE, which are relevant for 3NF. The anomalous dimensions of 9-quark operators are computed in sect. 3.

Finally we discuss the short distance behavior of 3NF in sect. 4. For the convenience of the reader we give a brief summary of our results here. The OPE analysis shows that the 3N central potential at short distance behaves as

$$V_{3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \simeq \frac{1}{m_N} \frac{-4\beta_A^{\text{tree}}}{s^2(-\log(\Lambda s))}, \quad (1.13)$$

as $s = \sqrt{(\vec{r})^2 + (\vec{\rho})^2} \rightarrow 0$, where β_A^{tree} is given by

$$\beta_A^{\text{tree}} = -14/(33 - 2N_f), \quad (1.14)$$

where N_f is the number of dynamical quarks. Unlike the 2NF where the situation was not completely determined by PT alone, it is shown that the 3N potential always has a repulsive core. Furthermore it is universal in the sense that it does not depend on details of the 3N state used to define the NBS wave function such as the energy of the state.

2. Renormalization group analysis and operator product expansion for 3NF

2.1 Renormalization group equation for composite operators

In QCD, using dimensional regularization in $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions, bare local composite operators $O_A^{(0)}(x)$ are renormalized according to

$$O_A^{(\text{ren})}(x) = Z_{AB}(g, \epsilon) O_B^{(0)}(x). \quad (2.1)$$

Summation of repeated indices is assumed throughout this paper unless indicated otherwise. The meaning of the above formula is that we obtain finite results if we insert the right hand side into any correlation function of the fundamental gluon and quark fields, provided we also renormalize the bare QCD coupling g_0 and the quark and gluon fields. For example, in the case of an n -quark correlation function with operator insertion, which we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{n;A}^{(0)}(g_0, \epsilon)$ (suppressing the dependence on the quark momenta and other quantum numbers) we have

$$\mathcal{G}_{n;A}^{(\text{ren})}(g, \mu) = Z_{AB}(g, \epsilon) Z_F^{-n/2}(g, \epsilon) \mathcal{G}_{n;B}^{(0)}(g_0, \epsilon). \quad (2.2)$$

We recall from renormalization theory that for the analogous n -quark correlation function (without any operator insertion) we have

$$\mathcal{G}_n^{(\text{ren})}(g, \mu) = Z_F^{-n/2}(g, \epsilon) \mathcal{G}_n^{(0)}(g_0, \epsilon). \quad (2.3)$$

The coupling renormalization is given by

$$g_0^2 = \mu^{2\epsilon} Z_1(g, \epsilon) g^2. \quad (2.4)$$

The renormalization constant Z_1 in the minimal subtraction (MS) scheme we are using has pure pole terms only:

$$Z_1(g, \epsilon) = 1 - \frac{\beta_0 g^2}{\epsilon} - \frac{\beta_1 g^4}{2\epsilon} + \frac{\beta_0^2 g^4}{\epsilon^2} + \mathcal{O}(g^6), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{11}{3}N_c - \frac{2}{3}N_f \right\}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{1}{256\pi^4} \left\{ \frac{34}{3}N_c^2 - \left(\frac{13}{3}N_c - \frac{1}{N_c} \right) N_f \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly for the fermion field renormalization constant, we have

$$Z_F(g, \epsilon) = 1 - \frac{\gamma_{F0}g^2}{2\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(g^4), \quad (2.7)$$

where $\gamma_{F0} = \frac{\lambda C_F}{8\pi^2}$ with $C_F = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c}$ and λ is the covariant gauge parameter. The gluon field renormalization constant is also similar, but we do not need it here. Finally the matrix of operator renormalization constants is of the form

$$Z_{AB}(g, \epsilon) = \delta_{AB} - \frac{\gamma_{AB}^{(1)}g^2}{2\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(g^4). \quad (2.8)$$

The renormalization group (RG) expresses the simple fact that bare quantities are independent of the renormalization scale μ . Introducing the RG differential operator

$$\mathcal{D} = \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \quad (2.9)$$

the RG equation for n -quark correlation functions can be written as

$$\left\{ \mathcal{D} + \frac{n}{2} \gamma_F(g) \right\} \mathcal{G}_n^{(\text{ren})}(g, \mu) = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Here the RG beta function is

$$\beta(g) = \epsilon g + \beta_D(g, \epsilon) = \epsilon g - \frac{\epsilon g}{1 + \frac{g}{2} \frac{\partial \ln Z_1}{\partial g}} = -\beta_0 g^3 - \beta_1 g^5 + \mathcal{O}(g^7), \quad (2.11)$$

where $\beta_D(g, \epsilon)$ is the beta function in D dimensions and the RG gamma function (for quark fields) is

$$\gamma_F(g) = \beta_D(g, \epsilon) \frac{\partial \ln Z_F}{\partial g} = \gamma_{F0} g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g^4). \quad (2.12)$$

It is useful to introduce the RG invariant lambda-parameter Λ by taking the ansatz

$$\Lambda = \mu e^{f(g)} \quad (2.13)$$

and requiring $\mathcal{D}\Lambda = 0$. The solution is the lambda-parameter in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme ($\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}$) if the arbitrary integration constant is fixed by requiring that for small coupling

$$f(g) = -\frac{1}{2\beta_0 g^2} - \frac{\beta_1}{2\beta_0^2} \ln(\beta_0 g^2) + \mathcal{O}(g^2). \quad (2.14)$$

Finally the RG equations for n -quark correlation functions with operator insertion are of the form

$$\left\{ \mathcal{D} + \frac{n}{2} \gamma_F(g) \right\} \mathcal{G}_{n;A}^{(\text{ren})}(g, \mu) - \gamma_{AB}(g) \mathcal{G}_{n;B}^{(\text{ren})}(g, \mu) = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\gamma_{AB}(g) = -Z_{AC} \beta_D(g, \epsilon) \frac{\partial Z_{CB}^{-1}}{\partial g} = \gamma_{AB}^{(1)} g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g^4). \quad (2.16)$$

2.2 OPE and RG equations

Let us recall the operator product expansion for $\rho, r \rightarrow 0$:

$$O_1(\vec{r} - \vec{\rho}/\sqrt{3})O_2(-\vec{r} - \vec{\rho}/\sqrt{3})O_3(2\vec{\rho}/\sqrt{3}) \simeq D_B(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) O_B(0). \quad (2.17)$$

We will need it in the special case where the operators O_1, O_2, O_3 on the left hand side are nucleon operators and the set of operators O_B on the right hand side are local 9-quark operators of engineering dimension $27/2$ and higher. All operators in (2.17) are renormalized ones, but from now on we suppress the labels ^(ren). As we know, the nucleon operators are renormalized diagonally as

$$O_i = \zeta_i(g, \epsilon) O_i^{(0)}, \quad (2.18)$$

and we can define the corresponding RG gamma functions by

$$\gamma_i(g) = \beta_D(g, \epsilon) \frac{\partial \ln \zeta_i}{\partial g} = \gamma_i^{(1)} g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g^4). \quad (2.19)$$

For the nucleon operator,

$$\gamma_N^{(1)} = 24d, \quad d = \frac{1}{32N_c\pi^2} = \frac{1}{96\pi^2}. \quad (2.20)$$

Next we write down the bare version of (2.17) (in terms of bare operators and bare coefficient functions):

$$O_1^{(0)}(\vec{r} - \vec{\rho}/\sqrt{3})O_2^{(0)}(-\vec{r} - \vec{\rho}/\sqrt{3})O_3^{(0)}(2\vec{\rho}/\sqrt{3}) \simeq D_B^{(0)}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) O_B^{(0)}(0). \quad (2.21)$$

Comparing (2.17) to (2.21), we can read off the renormalization of the coefficient functions:

$$D_B(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) = \zeta_1(g, \epsilon)\zeta_2(g, \epsilon)\zeta_3(g, \epsilon)D_A^{(0)}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) Z_{AB}^{-1}(g, \epsilon) \quad (2.22)$$

and using the μ -independence of the bare coefficient functions we can derive the RG equations satisfied by the renormalized ones:

$$\mathcal{D}D_B(g, \mu, \vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) + D_A(g, \mu, \vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \tilde{\gamma}_{AB}(g) = 0, \quad (2.23)$$

where the effective gamma function matrix is defined as

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{AB}(g) = \gamma_{AB}(g) - [\gamma_1(g) + \gamma_2(g) + \gamma_3(g)] \delta_{AB}. \quad (2.24)$$

2.3 Perturbative solution of the RG equation and factorization of OPE

We want to solve the vector partial differential equation (2.23) and for this purpose it is useful to introduce $\hat{U}_{AB}(g)$, the solution of the matrix ordinary differential equation

$$\beta(g) \frac{d}{dg} \hat{U}_{AB}(g) = \tilde{\gamma}_{AC}(g) \hat{U}_{CB}(g) \quad (2.25)$$

and its matrix inverse $U_{AB}(g)$. We will assume that the coefficient has the perturbative expansion

$$\begin{aligned} D_A(g, \mu, \vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) &= \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \tilde{d}_A} r^{\alpha_1} \rho^{\alpha_2} D_A^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(g; \mu s, \omega, \Omega_r, \Omega_\rho) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \tilde{d}_A} r^{\alpha_1} \rho^{\alpha_2} \left[D_{A;0}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} + g^2 D_{A;1}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(\mu s, \omega, \Omega_r, \Omega_\rho) + \mathcal{O}(g^4) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

where $s^2 = r^2 + \rho^2$ and $\tan \omega = \rho/r$ with $r = |\vec{r}|$, $\rho = |\vec{\rho}|$, and Ω_r, Ω_ρ are solid angles of the vectors $\vec{r}$ and $\vec{\rho}$, respectively. Here $\tilde{d}_A = d_A - (d_1 + d_2 + d_3)$ is the dimension of the coefficient function. Note that in the massless theory operators of different dimension do not mix. In the full theory quark mass terms are also present, but they correspond to higher powers in r and ρ , and therefore can be neglected.

We will also assume that the basis of operators has been chosen such that the 1-loop mixing matrix is diagonal:

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{AB}(g) = 2\beta_0 \beta_A g^2 \delta_{AB} + \mathcal{O}(g^4). \quad (2.27)$$

In such a basis the solution of (2.25) in perturbation theory takes the form

$$\hat{U}_{AB}(g) = \{\delta_{AB} + R_{AB}(g)\} g^{-2\beta_B}, \quad (2.28)$$

where $R_{AB}(g) = \mathcal{O}(g^2)$, with possible multiplicative $\log g^2$ factors, depending on the details of the spectrum of 1-loop eigenvalues β_A .

Having solved (2.25) we can write down the most general solution of (2.23):

$$D_B^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(g; \mu s, \omega, \Omega_r, \Omega_\rho) = F_A^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(\Lambda s, \omega, \Omega_r, \Omega_\rho) U_{AB}(g). \quad (2.29)$$

Here the vector $F_A^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$ is RG-invariant. Introducing the running coupling $\bar{g}$ as the solution of the equation

$$f(\bar{g}) = f(g) + \ln(\mu s) = \ln(\Lambda s) \quad (2.30)$$

$F_B^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$ can be rewritten as

$$F_B^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(\Lambda s, \omega, \Omega_r, \Omega_\rho) = D_A^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(\bar{g}; 1, \omega, \Omega_r, \Omega_\rho) \hat{U}_{AB}(\bar{g}). \quad (2.31)$$

Since, because of asymptotic freedom (AF), for $s \rightarrow 0$ also $\bar{g} \rightarrow 0$ as

$$\bar{g}^2 \approx -\frac{1}{2\beta_0 \ln(\Lambda s)}, \quad (2.32)$$

$F_B^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$ can be calculated perturbatively using (2.26) and (2.28).

Putting everything together, we find that the right hand side of the operator product expansion (2.17) can be rewritten:

$$\begin{aligned} O_1(\vec{r} - \vec{\rho}/\sqrt{3}) O_2(-\vec{r} - \vec{\rho}/\sqrt{3}) O_3(2\vec{\rho}/\sqrt{3}) &\simeq \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \tilde{d}_B} r^{\alpha_1} \rho^{\alpha_2} \\ &\times F_B^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(\Lambda s, \omega, \Omega_r, \Omega_\rho) \tilde{O}_B(0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$\tilde{O}_B = U_{BC}(g) O_C. \quad (2.34)$$

There is a factorization of the operator product into perturbative and non-perturbative quantities: $F_B^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(\Lambda s, \omega, \Omega_r, \Omega_\rho)$ is perturbative and calculable (for $s \rightarrow 0$) thanks to AF, whereas the matrix elements of $\tilde{O}_B$ are non-perturbative (but s -independent). Note that $d_C = d_B$.

2.4 3NF from OPE

Using results in the previous subsection, the NBS wave function for 3N can be written at short distance as

$$\psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \simeq \sum_{A,B} \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2=\tilde{d}_A} r^{\alpha_1} \rho^{\alpha_2} D_A^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(\bar{g}, 1, \omega, \Omega_r, \Omega_\rho) \hat{U}_{AB}(\bar{g}) \langle 0 | \tilde{O}_B(0) | E_{3N} \rangle. \quad (2.35)$$

Since a $r^{\alpha_1} \rho^{\alpha_2}$ term produces angular momenta $l_1 \leq \alpha_1$ and $l_2 \leq \alpha_2$, we can write

$$D_A^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(\bar{g}, 1, \omega, \Omega_r, \Omega_\rho) \simeq \sum_{m_1 m_2} D_A^{\alpha_1 m_1 \alpha_2 m_2}(\bar{g}, 1, \omega) Y_{\alpha_1 m_1}(\Omega_r) Y_{\alpha_2 m_2}(\Omega_\rho), \quad (2.36)$$

up to less singular terms at short distances. Then the sum of 2N and 3NF potentials V_{2N+3NF} is extracted as

$$V_{2N+3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \equiv \sum_{i < j} V_{2N}(\vec{r}_{ij}) + V_{3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) = E_{3N} + \frac{1}{m_N} \frac{(\nabla_r^2 + \nabla_\rho^2) \psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})}{\psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})}, \quad (2.37)$$

where

$$\nabla_r^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\hat{L}_r^2}{r^2} \equiv d_r^2 - \frac{\hat{L}_r^2}{r^2}, \quad \nabla_\rho^2 = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\hat{L}_\rho^2}{\rho^2} \equiv d_\rho^2 - \frac{\hat{L}_\rho^2}{\rho^2}. \quad (2.38)$$

Since

$$\nabla_r^2 r^l Y_{lm}(\Omega_r) = \nabla_\rho^2 \rho^l Y_{lm}(\Omega_\rho) = 0, \quad (2.39)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_r^2 + \nabla_\rho^2) \psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) &\simeq \sum_{AB} \sum_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2=\tilde{d}_A} r^{\alpha_1} Y_{\alpha_1 m_1}(\Omega_r) \rho^{\alpha_2} Y_{\alpha_2 m_2}(\Omega_\rho) \langle 0 | \bar{O}_B(0) | E_{3N} \rangle \\ &\times (d_r^2 + d_\rho^2) \left[D_A^{\alpha_1 m_1 \alpha_2 m_2}(\bar{g}, 1, \omega) \hat{U}_{AB}(\bar{g}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

Since terms with $\tilde{d}_A = 0$ dominate in Ψ_{3N} at short distance, contributions from $\tilde{d}_A \neq 0$ terms to 2N+3NF potentials are suppressed by an $r^{\alpha_1} \rho^{\alpha_2}$ factor, so that they do not contribute at short distance. Therefore we consider terms with $\tilde{d}_A = 0$ ($\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$) hereafter and do not write α_i dependence in coefficients. We then have

$$(\nabla_r^2 + \nabla_\rho^2) \psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \simeq \sum_{AB} \langle 0 | \bar{O}_B(0) | E_{3N} \rangle \times (d_r^2 + d_\rho^2) \left[D_A(\bar{g}, 1, \omega) \hat{U}_{AB}(\bar{g}) \right]. \quad (2.41)$$

In terms of s and ω we write

$$d_r^2 + d_\rho^2 = \frac{1}{s^5} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} s^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{s^2} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega^2} + 4 \frac{\cos(2\omega)}{\sin(2\omega)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \right], \quad (2.42)$$

so that the ω dependent part gives a $1/s^2$ contribution unless $\omega = 0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2$, where either $r = 0$ or $\rho = 0$. We assume $r \neq 0$ and $\rho \neq 0$ in our calculation. Since an ω dependence appears only at 1-loop or higher orders in D_A , we can neglect it unless an operator O_A which appears at ℓ_A loop has large anomalous dimension such that $\beta_A - \ell_A$ is larger than other β_B corresponding to operators O_B appearing at tree level. As we will see later such operators are absent; it is then enough to consider the tree level contribution in D_A , so that ω dependent terms in D_A can be neglected. The largest eigenvalue among operators appearing at tree level is thus denoted by β_A , which corresponds to ν_1 discussed in the introduction for 2N forces.

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (d_r^2 + d_\rho^2) \left[D_A(\bar{g}, 1, \omega) \hat{U}_{AB}(\bar{g}) \right] &\simeq D_{A:0} (d_r^2 + d_\rho^2) \bar{g}^{-2\beta_A} \\ &\simeq D_{A:0} \frac{-4\beta_A}{s^2(-\log(\Lambda s))} (-2\beta_0 \log(\Lambda s))^{\beta_A}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

The NBS wave function is dominated by the term with largest β_A . If we assume β_A is non-zero, we finally obtain

$$V_{2N+3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \simeq \frac{1}{m_N} \frac{-4\beta_A}{s^2(-\log(\Lambda s))}. \quad (2.44)$$

3. Anomalous dimensions for three nucleons at 1-loop

3.1 OPE for 3N operators at tree level

The general form of a gauge invariant local 3-quark operator is given by

$$B_\Gamma^F(x) \equiv B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{fgh}(x) = \varepsilon^{abc} q_\alpha^{a,f}(x) q_\beta^{b,g}(x) q_\gamma^{c,h}(x), \quad (3.1)$$

where α, β, γ are spinor, f, g, h are flavor, a, b, c are color indices of the (renormalized) quark field q . The color index runs from 1 to $N_c = 3$, the spinor index from 1 to 4, and the flavor index from 1 to N_f . Note that $B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{fgh}$ is symmetric under any interchange of pairs of indices (e.g. $B_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{fgh} = B_{\beta\alpha\gamma}^{gfh}$) because the quark fields anticommute. For simplicity we sometimes use the notation such as $F = fgh$ and $\Gamma = \alpha\beta\gamma$ as indicated in (3.1).

The usual nucleon operator which is employed in lattice simulations is constructed from the above operators as

$$B_\alpha^f(x) = (P_{+4})_{\alpha\alpha'} B_{\alpha'\beta\gamma}^{fgh} (C\gamma_5)_{\beta\gamma} (i\tau_2)^{gh}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $P_{+4} = (1 + \gamma_4)/2$ is the projection to the large spinor component, $C = \gamma_2\gamma_4$ is the charge conjugation matrix, and τ_2 is the Pauli matrix in the flavor space (for $N_f = 2$) given by $(i\tau_2)^{fg} = \varepsilon^{fg}$. Both $C\gamma_5$ and $i\tau_2$ are anti-symmetric under the interchange of two

indices, so that the nucleon operator has spin 1/2 and isospin 1/2. Although an explicit form of the γ matrices is unnecessary in principle, we find it convenient to use a (chiral) convention given by

$$\gamma_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_k \\ -i\sigma_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.3)$$

As discussed in the previous section, the OPE at the tree level (generically) dominates at short distance. The OPE of 3N operators given above at tree level becomes

$$B_\alpha^f(x+y-z/\sqrt{3})B_\beta^g(x-y-z/\sqrt{3})B_\gamma^h(x+2z/\sqrt{3}) = B_\alpha^f(x)B_\beta^g(x)B_\gamma^h(x) + \cdots \quad (3.4)$$

where $+\cdots$ denote higher dimensional operators, which do not contribute at short distance. The leading operators couple only to the states with $L=0$ (the S -state).

If we construct local 3N operators at $L=0$ from $B_\alpha^f(x)$ for nucleons (which only involve 2 different flavors), there is only one such operator, which has $I=1/2$ and $S=1/2$, due to the Pauli statistics of nucleons. Explicitly it is given by

$$(B_{\text{tree}}^{(3)})_{\alpha\beta\alpha}^{ffg} \equiv B_\alpha^f B_\beta^f B_\alpha^g, \quad B_\alpha^f = B_{\alpha+\hat{\alpha},[2,1]+\hat{2},\hat{1}}^{ffg} \quad (3.5)$$

where $f \neq g$, $f, g = u, d$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$, $\hat{1} = 3$, $\hat{2} = 4$ for the explicit form of the γ matrices. Above no summation is taken for f and α .

3.2 General formula for the divergent part at 1-loop

As shown in ref.[21], the gauge invariant part of the divergence from diagrams involving exchange of a gluon between any pair of quark fields is given by

$$\left[q_\alpha^{a,f}(x) q_\beta^{b,g}(x) \right]^{1\text{-loop,div}} = \frac{g^2 d}{\epsilon} \left[\mathbf{T}_0 \cdot q^a(x) \otimes q^b(x) \right]_{\alpha,\beta}^{fg}, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$(\mathbf{T}_0)_{\alpha\alpha_1,\beta\beta_1}^{ff_1,gg_1} = \delta^{ff_1} \delta^{gg_1} [\delta_{\alpha\alpha_1} \delta_{\beta\beta_1} - 2\delta_{\beta\alpha_1} \delta_{\alpha\beta_1}] + N_c \delta^{gff_1} \delta^{fg_1} [\delta_{\beta\alpha_1} \delta_{\alpha\beta_1} - 2\delta_{\alpha\alpha_1} \delta_{\beta\beta_1}] \quad (3.7)$$

for either $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \{1, 2\}$ (right-handed) or $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \{3, 4\}$ (left-handed), and it vanishes for other combinations.

The operator in eq. (3.5) can be written as a linear combination of simple operators $[BBB]_{\Gamma_1\Gamma_2\Gamma_3}^{F_1F_2F_3}$. According to this 1-loop formula for divergences, such a simple operator mixes only with operators $[BBB]_{\Gamma_A\Gamma_B\Gamma_C}^{F_AF_BF_C}$ which preserve the set of flavor and Dirac indices in the chiral basis as

$$F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3 = F_A \cup F_B \cup F_C, \quad \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_A \cup \Gamma_B \cup \Gamma_C. \quad (3.8)$$

Note however that such operators are not all linearly independent. In the case of a 2N operator, we have the following constraint

$$3[BB]_{\Gamma_1,\Gamma_2}^{F_1,F_2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 [BB]_{(\Gamma_1,\Gamma_2)[i,j]}^{(F_1,F_2)[i,j]} = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

which comes from the general identity

$$N_c \varepsilon^{a_1 \dots a_{N_c}} \varepsilon^{b_1 \dots b_{N_c}} = \sum_{j,k=1}^{N_c} \varepsilon^{a_1 \dots a_{j-1} b_k a_{j+1} \dots a_{N_c}} \varepsilon^{b_1 \dots b_{k-1} a_j b_{k+1} \dots b_{N_c}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Here $[i, j]$ means a simultaneous exchange between the i -th indices of F_1, Γ_1 and the j -th indices of F_2, Γ_2 . This identity can be generalized to

$$\begin{aligned} N_c \varepsilon^{a_1 \dots a_{N_c}} \varepsilon^{b_1 \dots b_{N_c}} \varepsilon^{c_1 \dots c_{N_c}} &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N_c} \varepsilon^{a_1 \dots a_{i-1} b_j a_{i+1} \dots a_{N_c}} \\ &\times \varepsilon^{b_1 \dots b_{j-1} c_k b_{j+1} \dots b_{N_c}} \varepsilon^{c_1 \dots c_{k-1} a_i c_{k+1} \dots c_{N_c}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

from which we have

$$3[BBB]_{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3}^{F_1, F_2, F_3} - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 [BBB]_{(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3)[i,j,k]}^{(F_1, F_2, F_3)[i,j,k]} = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

where the i -th index of ABC , the j -th index of DEF and the k -th index of GHI are cyclically interchanged in $(ABC, DEF, GHI)[i, j, k]$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3)[1, 1, 2] &= (\beta_3 \beta_1 \gamma_1, \alpha_1 \beta_2 \gamma_2, \alpha_3 \alpha_2 \gamma_3), \\ (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3)[1, 2, 3] &= (\gamma_3 \beta_1 \gamma_1, \alpha_2 \alpha_1 \gamma_2, \alpha_3 \beta_3 \beta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the cyclic interchange of indices occurs simultaneously for both Γ_i and F_i in the above formula. Both 2N and 3N identities are incorporated in our calculation.

3.3 Results of anomalous dimensions for 9 quark operators at 1-loop

In tables 1–4, we give all eigenvalues of the matrix $\gamma_{AB}^{(1)}$ in units of $2d$, which were calculated and checked independently by Mathematica and Maple programs, for $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3 = (fffffgggg)$ with $f \neq g$ and all independent combinations of $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3$. The five digits n_1, n_3, n_5, n_7, n_9 in the isospin column give the number of representations with isospins $1/2, 3/2, 5/2, 7/2, 9/2$, respectively. For example, 32100 means 3 operators with $I = 1/2$, 2 with $I = 3/2$, 1 with $I = 5/2$ and 0 with $I = 7/2, 9/2$.

The results in the tables show some notable patterns. Firstly the eigenvalues $\gamma_j/2d$ are all even integers; this is non-trivial since there appears to be considerable mixing in our initial operator bases. Secondly there is a tendency for the operators with larger isospin to have smaller (more negative) eigenvalues. Thirdly there are relations between the entries in the tables for different Dirac indices; e.g. the isospin degeneracies for the indices 111113344 and 111122223 in table 1 are identical and furthermore all the corresponding eigenvalues related by a common shift of -32 . These observations suggest that there is an underlying algebraic structure that we have unfortunately not yet been able to reveal.

Note that a combination obtained from an other one by

- i) the interchange $(1, 2) \leftrightarrow (3, 4)$ or
- ii) the simultaneous interchange of $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ and $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ or
- iii) the interchange $1 \leftrightarrow 2$

has obviously the same spectrum of anomalous dimensions and for this reason not listed separately.

The star symbol * next to the eigenvalues means that there is a corresponding operator which overlaps with the tree operator in eq. (3.5). Since the tree operator has $I = 1/2$, this can only happen if the corresponding n_1 is different from zero. The tree operator is invariant under the symmetry i) above whereas its two spin components are exchanged under the symmetry ii). This means that whenever the tree operator overlaps with a particular operator, it also overlaps with these transformed ones. The meaning of the symbol $\natural$ is an overlap between the tree operator and the transform of the operator under symmetry iii). It is intriguing that the tree operator generally overlaps with the largest eigenvalue for given Dirac indices; again a fact for which we do not yet have a simple explanation.

As a simple example, let us consider the Dirac index distribution 111112222 (third entry in table 1). The part of the tree operator relevant for this case is

$$T_1 = (B_{112}^{ffg} - B_{121}^{ffg})(B_{212}^{ffg} - B_{221}^{ffg})(B_{112}^{ggg} - B_{121}^{ggg}). \quad (3.13)$$

There are altogether 53 local 9-quark operators with this distribution of Dirac indices, but the number of independent ones is reduced to 2 after imposing all the gauge identities (3.9) and (3.12). A possible choice is

$$O_1 = B_{111}^{fff} B_{221}^{ffg} B_{122}^{ggg}, \quad O_2 = B_{112}^{fff} B_{221}^{ffg} B_{112}^{ggg}. \quad (3.14)$$

Using the gauge identities we have

$$T_1 = \frac{5}{6}O_1 - 5O_2, \quad (3.15)$$

which is proportional to the operator (of $I = 1/2$) corresponding to anomalous dimension -60 in our units. The other combination

$$O_1 + 3O_2 \quad (3.16)$$

has anomalous dimension -84 and $I = 3/2$ and has no overlap with T_1 . As explained above, among the operators with Dirac index distribution 333334444 there is one with anomalous dimension -60 which also overlaps with the spin=1 component of the tree operator and among the ones corresponding to 222221111 one which overlaps with its spin=2 component. The space of operators corresponding to other Dirac index distributions are considerably larger than in this example. For example, the case 111223344 (last entry in table 4) has 1369 operators before, and 117 operators after imposing the gauge identities.

As can be seen from tables 1–4, the largest eigenvalue of $\gamma_{AB}^{(1)}$ is $16d$ (occurring already at the tree level). Therefore, the largest eigenvalue of $\tilde{\gamma}_{AB}^{(1)}$ becomes $2d \times (8 - 36)$, which is negative, so that $\beta_A^{\text{tree}} = -14/(33 - 2N_f)$. Therefore, in conclusion, the operators at the tree level in OPE dominate at short distance in the 3N NBS wave function.

4. Short distance repulsion of 3NF

As discussed before, the 3N potential at short distance is given by

$$V_{2N+3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \simeq \frac{1}{m_N} \frac{-4\beta_A^{\text{tree}}}{s^2(-\log(\Lambda s))}, \quad (4.1)$$

where β_A^{tree} is given in eq. (1.14). Since this result dominates over the one appearing in the 2N potential, which is of the form (1.10), the above behavior of $V_{2N+3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})$ at short distance must come solely from $V_{3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})$. Unlike for the 2NF no additional nonperturbative considerations are required in this case and therefore we can conclude that the 3NF is always repulsive at short distance, which is universal in the sense that it does not depend on the details of the 3N state, used to define the NBS wave function, such as its energy E . Note however that this conclusion is valid for the 3NF in our definition (*i.e.* defined from the NBS wave function) since potentials are not observable in general and therefore scheme-dependent. Unless one fixes the scheme for the definition of the potential, it is meaningless to ask whether the 3NF has a repulsive core or not. By using the potential scheme considered in this paper, we can show that the 3NF universally have repulsive cores.

Finally we would like to note that as listed in Tables 1-4, the total number of 9-quark local operators is several hundred and the spectrum of anomalous dimensions is rather dense. We singled out the ones with largest anomalous dimensions which dominate at short distance but it is not evident at what distance scale this leading behavior sets in. Even if it turns out that these individual operators really dominate at extremely short distances only, our main conclusion may remain valid due to the fact that all the eigenvalues of the effective gamma matrix are negative (corresponding to short distance repulsion). We think a simple explanation of this fact should exist (maybe related to the Pauli principle).

An interesting and important extension of the present analysis is to investigate the short distance behavior of the three baryon force (3BF) by the same method. Its results can tell us whether there is a universal short distance repulsion also in the 3BF, which has been suggested to explain the observed maximum mass of neutron stars [7].

Acknowledgments

S. A. would like to thank Dr. T. Doi, Prof. T. Hatsuda, Dr. N. Ishii for useful discussions. S. A. is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (No. 2004: 20105001, 20105003) and by SPIRE(Strategic Program for Innovative REsearch). This investigation was also supported in part by the Hungarian National Science Fund OTKA (under K83267). S. A. and J. B. would like to thank the Max-Planck-Institut für Physik for its kind hospitality during their stay for this research project.

References

- [1] H. Kamada *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 044001 (2001) [arXiv:nucl-th/0104057].
- [2] S. C. Pieper, Riv. Nuovo Cim. **31**, 709 (2008) [arXiv:0711.1500 [nucl-th]].

- [3] K. Sekiguchi *et al.*, arXiv:1106.0180 [nucl-ex].
See also a review, K. Sekiguchi, Nucl. Phys. A **805**, 250 (2008).
- [4] T. Furumoto, Y. Sakuragi and Y. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 044614 (2009)
[Erratum-ibid. C **82**, 029908 (2010)].
- [5] T. Otsuka, T. Suzuki, J. D. Holt, A. Schwenk and Y. Akaishi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 032501 (2010) [arXiv:0908.2607 [nucl-th]].
- [6] A. Akmal, V. R. Pandharipande and D. G. Ravenhall, Phys. Rev. **C58**, 1804 (1998)
[nucl-th/9804027].
- [7] S. Nishizaki, T. Takatsuka and Y. Yamamoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **108**, 703 (2002).
T. Takatsuka, S. Nishizaki and R. Tamagaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **174**, 80 (2008).
- [8] J. Fujita and H. Miyazawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **17**, 360 (1957).
- [9] S. A. Coon and H. K. Han, Few Body Syst. **30**, 131 (2001) [arXiv:nucl-th/0101003].
- [10] S. C. Pieper, V. R. Pandharipande, R. B. Wiringa and J. Carlson, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 014001 (2001) [arXiv:nucl-th/0102004].
- [11] T. Doi [HAL QCD Collab.], PoS **LATTICE2010**, 136 (2010) [arXiv:1011.0657 [hep-lat]].
- [12] T. Doi [HAL QCD Collab.], Proc. of the Int. Conf. on the structure of baryons (BARYONS'10), [arXiv:1105.6247 [hep-lat]].
- [13] T. Doi *et al.*, arXiv:1106.2276 [hep-lat].
- [14] N. Ishii, S. Aoki and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 022001 (2007) [nucl-th/0611096].
- [15] S. Aoki, T. Hatsuda and N. Ishii, Comput. Sci. Dis. **1** (2008) 015009 [arXiv:0805.2462 [hep-ph]].
- [16] S. Aoki, T. Hatsuda and N. Ishii, Prog. Theor. Phys. **123**, 89 (2010) [arXiv:1107.1284 [hep-lat]].
- [17] N. Ishii, S. Aoki and T. Hatsuda, PoS **LATTICE2008** (2008) 155 [arXiv:0903.5497 [hep-lat]].
- [18] S. Aoki, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics **66**, 687 (2011). [arXiv:0909.5585 [hep-lat]].
- [19] S. Aoki, "Lattice QCD and nuclear physics", a lecture given at the Les Houches Summer School "Modern perspective in lattice QCD: Quantum Field Theory and High Performance Computing", 3-28 August 2009, Les Houches, France. [arXiv:1008.4427 [hep-lat]].
- [20] S. Aoki, J. Balog and P. Weisz, PoS **LAT2009** (2009) 132 [arXiv:0910.4255 [hep-lat]].
- [21] S. Aoki, J. Balog and P. Weisz, JHEP **1005**, 008 (2010) [arXiv:1002.0977 [hep-lat]].
- [22] S. Aoki, J. Balog and P. Weisz, JHEP **1009**, 083 (2010) [arXiv:1007.4117 [hep-lat]].
- [23] S. Aoki, J. Balog and P. Weisz, Prog. Theor. Phys. **121** (2009) 1003 [arXiv:0805.3098 [hep-th]].

Dirac indices	$\gamma_j/(2d)$	isospins
111111222	-84	01000
111111333	-36	01000
111112222	-84	01000
	-60*	10000
111113333	-28	11000
111111223	-60	11000
111111334	-36	01000
	-12	10000
111111332	-44	11000
111112223	-76	01100
	-60	11000
	-52	11000
	-40 [‡]	10000
111113334	-44	01100
	-28	11000
	-20	11000
	-8	10000
111113332	-36	23100
	-24	10000
111112233	-52	12100
	-44	11000
	-34	21000
111113344	-44	01100
	-28	11000
	-20	11000
	-16	11000
	-8	10000
111133332	-36	12100
	-28	11000
	-18	21000

Dirac indices	$\gamma_j/(2d)$	isospins
111122223	-76	01100
	-60	11000
	-52	11000
	-48*	11000
	-40*	10000
111122233	-76	01110
	-52	12100
	-46	12100
	-44	11000
	-34	21000
	-28 [‡]	21000
111133344	-60	01110
	-36	12100
	-30	12100
	-28	11000
	-18	21000
111133322	-12	21000
	-52	12210
	-36	23100
	-28	22000
	-24	10000
111222333	-16	11000
	-84	01111
	-52	12210
	-48	01100
	-36	23100
111111234	-28	22000
	-24	32100
	-16	11000
111111234	-44	11000
	-28	10000

Table 1: Eigenvalues γ_j of the anomalous dimension matrix γ and isospins of the corresponding eigenvectors for the case 5f4g. The five digits $n1, n3, n5, n7, n9$ in the isospins column give the number of representations with isospins $1/2, 3/2, 5/2, 7/2, 9/2$, respectively.

Dirac indices	$\gamma_j/(2d)$	isospins
111112234	-60	11100
	-52	12100
	-44	11000
	-42	11000
	-36	01000
	-34	21000
	-28	10000
	-18	10000
111113324	-48	11100
	-36	24100
	-30	11000
	-24	10000
	-18	10000
	-12	21000
111122234	-76	01110
	-60	11200
	-54	11100
	-52	12100
	-46	12100
	-44	11000
	-42	11000
	-36*	12000
	-34	21000
	-30	01000
	-28*	31000
	-18	10000
	-12*	10000

Dirac indices	$\gamma_j/(2d)$	isospins
111133324	-52	11110
	-44	01100
	-40	11100
	-36	12100
	-34	12100
	-28	22100
	-22	21000
	-20	11000
	-18	21000
	-16	01000
	-10	11000
111122334	-8	10000
	2	10000
	-64	11110
	-52	12210
	-48	11100
	-46	01100
	-40	33200
	-36	24100
	-30	11000
	-28	24100
	-24	10000
	-22	22000
	-18	10000
	-16	11000
	-12	21000
	-10 [‡]	10000
	8 [‡]	10000

Table 2: As in Table 1 (continued).

Dirac indices	$\gamma_j/(2d)$	isospins
111133442	-60	11110
	-52	11110
	-44	01100
	-42	01100
	-40	11100
	-36	12100
	-34	12100
	-30	11000
	-28	22100
	-24	12100
	-22	21000
	-20	11000
	-18	21000
	-16	12000
	-10	11000
	-8	10000
	-6	21000
	2	10000

Dirac indices	$\gamma_j/(2d)$	isospins
111222334	-84	01111
	-64	11110
	-60	11220
	-52	12210
	-48	12200
	-46	01100
	-42	01100
	-40	33200
	-36	35200
	-30	11000
	-28	24100
	-24*	42100
	-22	22000
	-18*	21000
	-16	11000
	-12	21000
	-10*	10000
	0*	11000
	8*	10000

Table 3: As in Table 1 (continued).

Dirac indices	$\gamma_j/(2d)$	isospins
111333224	-76	11111
	-60	01110
	-52	12220
	-46	22210
	-44	01100
	-40	11200
	-36	12100
	-34	24200
	-30	12100
	-28	34200
	-22	32100
	-20	11000
	-18	21000
	-16 ^b	22000
	-12	21000
	-10	12000
	-8	10000
	-4	11000
	2	10000
	8 ^b	10000

Dirac indices	$\gamma_j/(2d)$	isospins
111223344	-76	11111
	-60	12220
	-54	11110
	-52	12220
	-48	01110
	-46	22210
	-44	01100
	-42	12200
	-40	11200
	-36	13200
	-34	24200
	-30	23100
	-28	34200
	-24*	23100
	-22	32100
	-20	11000
	-18	33100
	-16*	33000
	-12	21000
	-10	12000
	-8	10000
	-6	21000
	-4	11000
	0*	21000
	2	10000
	8*	10000

Table 4: As in Table 1 (continued).